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SUMMARY OF THE FORECASTS

The annual electricity consumption in the Nordic market is estimated to grow about 16 TWh by the end of year 2009 (1.0%/a) from 402 TWh in 2005 (temperature corrected, including electrical boilers).

The sum of the national peak demands in average temperature conditions is estimated to grow to 72 000 MWh/h in the winter period 2009/10. The forecast for the corresponding demand in the cold temperature conditions (statistically once in ten years nationally) is 3 700 MWh/h higher (75 700 MWh/h). On the Nordic level this corresponds to a probability of once in 30 to 40 years. Simultaneous peak demand is expected to be 1 000 to 2 000 MWh/h lower. Simultaneous all time high has been 69 000 MWh/h in 2001.

Investments in production capacity by the end of 2009 are estimated to increase the available production capacity by about 2 400 MW. The decided and planned investments would increase the production capability by about 7 TWh/a in 2009 and by about 13 TWh/a in 2010 assuming the new nuclear unit in Finland comes into operation mid of 2010.

Iceland is presented separately and it is not included in the other figures.
CONCLUSIONS

Both the energy balance in 2009 and power balance in 2009/10 are better than the former Nordel estimate for 2008. This is due to additional investments in new generation capacity especially in 2009. Thus, the situation before the year 2009 and winter period 2009/10 will be more strict in the Nordic power system.

The Nordic electricity system is able to meet the estimated consumption and the corresponding typical power demand pattern in average conditions even without imports.

In order to meet the energy demand in low inflow conditions the Nordic power system needs to import from neighbouring countries.

The Nordic production capacity is sufficient to meet the simultaneous peak power demand also in cold conditions but the margin remains small.

In practice, the balance between Nordic supply and import/export will be based on the prevailing market situation between the Nordic electricity market and the neighbouring markets.

Some areas in Norway can be exposed to a risk for rationing or other measures in case of extremely low precipitation.
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## CONSUMPTION AND DEMAND

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Energy 2005 TWh/a</th>
<th>Energy 2009 TWh/a</th>
<th>Growth %/a</th>
<th>All time peak MWh/h</th>
<th>Peak 2009/10 MWh/h Cold</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>6 480</td>
<td>7 400 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>85.9 4)</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>14 800</td>
<td>15 700 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>129.5</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>23 050</td>
<td>24 000 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>150.7</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>27 300</td>
<td>28 500 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nordel</td>
<td>402.1</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>69 000</td>
<td>75 600 3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) Temperature corrected, including electrical boilers
2) Probability once in 10 years
3) Sum of national peaks (probability once in 30 to 40 years)
4) Estimated reduction due to the industrial labour dispute about 3.5 TWh
## NET ADDITIONS IN GENERATION CAPACITY
### 2006 to 2009 (decided and planned)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Hydro</th>
<th>Nuclear</th>
<th>Other thermal</th>
<th>Wind</th>
<th>Installed capacity</th>
<th>Available capacity at peak</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-160</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>-160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>410</td>
<td></td>
<td>620</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>1380</td>
<td>1010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td></td>
<td>600</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>2750</td>
<td>1420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nordel</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>2190</td>
<td>4750</td>
<td>2400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Interconnections

New interconnections Estlink (between Finland and Estonia) 350 MW (end of 2006) and NorNed (between Norway and the Netherlands) 700 MW (by the beginning 2008) will increase the transmission capacity to outside Nordel.

Of the five prioritised Nordic grid investments Nea - Järpströmmen between Norway and Sweden is expected to be commissioned in autumn 2009. Also Storebælt, 600 MW connection between Eastern and Western Denmark, is expected to be commissioned 2009/10.
AVAILABLE CROSS BORDER TRADING CAPACITIES AT THE END OF 2009, MW
Iceland is not included in the figures elsewhere in the report.

The annual energy consumption in Iceland is estimated to grow by about 7.5 TWh by year 2009 (16 %/a) due to one new aluminium plant to be started in 2007 and extensions in existing plants.
SIMULATED ENERGY BALANCES 2009

Energy balances

- Average conditions: 13 - 16
- Low inflow: 17 - 18
- Extremely low inflow: 19 - 21
The Simulated Energy Market Balance on pages 14 to 16 illustrates the simulated physical exchanges between areas. The exchange between the Nordic and Continental markets is based on simulations in the Nordic market and the price forecast in the Continental market.

- Due to imports the production in the Nordic countries remains remarkably lower than the production capability.
- There is remarkable import from Estonia and Russia while net exchange with Central-Europe remains small.
SIMULATED ENERGY MARKET BALANCE 2009
Average of all inflow years

P = Production, simulated
C = Consumption
B = Balance without energy exchange
All units in TWh

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru 0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Denmark-W</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark-E</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Organiastion for Nordic Transmission System Operators
SIMULATED MARKET BALANCE 2009
(average of all inflow years)
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SIMULATED MARKET BALANCE 2009
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ESTIMATED MARKET BALANCE 2009
(low inflow)

The Simulated Energy Market Balance on page 18 illustrates the simulated market balance in low inflow conditions. The inflow series used is 1960. It results in hydro power production once in 10 years.

The simulation results show compared to average situation

- hydro production is decreased by 24 TWh
- thermal production is increased by 11 TWh
- demand is decreased by 6 TWh (demand response)
- import from outside is increased by 6 TWh
SIMULATED ENERGY MARKET BALANCE 2009
Low inflow year (1/10 years)

P = Production, simulated
C = Consumption
B = Balance without energy exchange
All units in TWh

Nordel

P 394
C 412
-18

Denmark-W
P 32
C 23
B 9

Norway
P 113 (-15)
C 128 (-5)
B -15

Sweden
P 150
C 151
B -1

Denmark-E
P 20
C 16
B 4

Netherlands (NL)
1

Poland (PL)
1

All units in TWh
The Simulated Energy Market Balance on page 21 illustrates the simulated market balance in extremely low inflow conditions. The year used is 1970 which followed another low inflow year 1969. It results in lowest hydro power production among the 50 inflow series used in simulations.

In this case water reservoirs are used more than the inflow thus resulting in decreasing water levels.
SIMULATED MARKET BALANCE 2009 (cont.)
(極低入流水)

極低入流水当りに、水力発電所の生産が約42 TWhの減少と想定される（フィンランド、ノルウェー、スウェーデンの極端な入流水の和）。シミュレーション結果は、全てのシミュレーションした入流水シリーズの平均値と比較して以下の変化を示している。

- 水力発電所の生産が33 TWhずつ減少
- 燃料発電所の生産が15 TWh増加
- 需要が11 TWh（需給対応）で減少
- 引き続きからの増加が8 TWh

⇒ 水力発電が中心のシステムでは、乾燥年間の市場価格が非常に高く、需要が減少する可能性がある。
⇒ ノルウェーの一部地域は、極端に低い降水量の場合に配給またはその他の措置に曝される可能性がある。
SIMULATED ENERGY MARKET
BALANCE 2009
 Extremely low inflow year (1/50 years)

P = Production, simulated
C = Consumption
B = Balance without energy exchange
All units in TWh

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>P (TWh)</th>
<th>C (TWh)</th>
<th>B (TWh)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nordel</td>
<td>P 382</td>
<td>C 407</td>
<td>-25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>P 105 (-23)</td>
<td>C 123 (-10)</td>
<td>B -18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>P 141</td>
<td>C 150</td>
<td>B -9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>P 83</td>
<td>C 95</td>
<td>B -11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark-W</td>
<td>P 32</td>
<td>C 23</td>
<td>B 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark-E</td>
<td>P 21</td>
<td>C 16</td>
<td>B 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RU 0
ES 12
RU 12
ESTIMATED POWER BALANCES 2009/10

Available capacity and peak demand
(average temperature) 23 - 24

Estimated power market balance
(temperature once in ten years) 25 - 26
The maximum available production capacity exceeds the peak demand by 4 200 MWh/h.

Sum of national peak demands is used in the forecasts. The simultaneous peak is 1000 to 2000 MWh/h lower. Considering this the capacity margin is even bigger and exceeds export capacity outside the area. The sum of national peaks corresponds to a probability of once in 30 to 40 years.

- Peak load situation is remarkably easier than in previous power balances due to investments in new generation capacity mainly in 2009. This means that the years before this are more strict.
- Every Nordic country except Finland is able to meet an average winter day peak demand with its own production capacity. As a whole the Nordic area is able to meet the demand without import.
AVAILABLE POWER CAPACITY AND PEAK DEMAND 2009/10
No exchange between areas
Average winter temperatures

P - maximum available production capacity
(operational reserves excluded)
C - peak demand in each country
B - power balance

All units in MWh/h

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>24550</td>
<td>22850</td>
<td>1650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>14000</td>
<td>14950</td>
<td>-950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>29900</td>
<td>27000</td>
<td>2900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark-W</td>
<td>4550</td>
<td>4150</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark-E</td>
<td>3150</td>
<td>2950</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total of national values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>76200</td>
<td>72000</td>
<td>4200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>29900</td>
<td>27000</td>
<td>2900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark-W</td>
<td>4550</td>
<td>4150</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark-E</td>
<td>3150</td>
<td>2950</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The national peak demands correspond a probability of once in ten years. The sum of these corresponds a probability of once in 30 to 40 years.

The sum of peak demands in cold conditions is estimated to be 3700 MWh/h higher than in average temperature conditions. The simultaneous peak is 1000 to 2000 MWh/h lower. The power balance is expected to come under strain in this situation.

- Nordic production capacity is sufficient to cover the simultaneous peak demand without import.
- Finland is a deficit area which is balanced by import from neighbouring areas. The other countries have potential for a surplus.
ESTIMATED POWER MARKET BALANCE 2009/10

Estimated production and export/import
Temperatures corresponding to a ten years winter day

P - estimated production
C - peak demand in each country
B - estimated net power exchange
  export(+)/import(-)

All units in MWh/h

Total of national values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Norway</th>
<th>Sweden</th>
<th>Finland</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>24400</td>
<td>28800</td>
<td>12850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>24000</td>
<td>28500</td>
<td>15700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>-2850</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Denmark.-W</th>
<th></th>
<th>Denmark-E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>4500</td>
<td></td>
<td>3100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>4400</td>
<td></td>
<td>3000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

0
1400 RU
30
300 EST

Total of national values:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>73650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>75600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>-1950</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Purpose

The purpose of this presentation is to give a picture of the energy balance for each country and the whole Nordic electricity market. Focus is set on production capacity and need for import from the neighbouring countries outside Nordel.

Definitions

Low inflow = There is a probability of 10 % to obtain energy below the estimated value.

Extreme low inflow = There is a probability of 2 % to obtain energy below the estimated value (1 out of 50 years)

Fundamentals

The exchange between the Nordel countries are market based. Hence it is the spot price that decides flow directions and volumes. The exchange between the Nordel countries and its neighbours is developing towards a market based operation.

The method does not necessarily indicate possible problems in certain areas.

Forecasted consumption/demand includes demand response during extreme dry years.

Consumption/demand includes network losses.
Appendix 2.1

POWER

Definitions

Available capacity = installed capacity - unavailable capacity - reserves

Reserves = frequency controlled momentary and fast disturbance reserves.

Peak Demand = maximum one hour load in temperature circumstances with occurrence probability one winter during respectively two and ten years, denoted as an average winter day and a cold winter day.

Ten years winter. The peak demand is based on a temperature that has an occurrence of one out of ten years in each country separately. A simultaneous peak demand in all the countries at a working day has an occurrence probability less than 7 %.
**Fundamentals**

Estimated power exchange takes into account limitations both in transmissions and production capabilities. The method does not necessarily indicate possible problems in certain areas.

Unavailable capacity is based on experiences from earlier peak demand situations. Minimum unavailable hydropower is approximately 12% (6000 MW) of installed capacity.

Nuclear power output is supposed to be 100% of full capacity.

Availability of other thermal power is reduced by e.g. forced outage rate, max heat production in combined heat and power plants, use of fuel other than oil etc.

The available wind power during peak load is assumed to be 10% in Norway, 5% in Sweden and 0% both in Finland and Denmark.

Demand forecast for ten years peak load includes demand response.

Nordel has recommended common fast disturbance reserves. From a total of 5 200 MW (3 200 MW in production capacity and 2 000 MW in dispatchable load) it can be reduced to a minimum of 600 MW in a connected system without severe bottlenecks before load shedding is executed. The recommended reserves have been subtracted from available production capacity.
Appendix 3.1
ENERGY
Retrospect 2005

Total consumption in 2005 was 394.0 TWh (390.9 TWh in 2004). The temperature corrected consumption was 396 TWh. During 2005 reservoir levels followed the long term median, a little above that at the end of the year. The Nord Pool spot price was higher than ever before. High price spikes were characteristic to the year. Both Danish price areas had remarkably higher prices than the rest of the market area.

Demand increased in all countries except Finland. The biggest increase of demand was in Norway (3.9 TWh) due to suppressed demand in 2004. Demand decreased in Finland by 1.9 TWh mainly due to prolonged paper industry dispute. Denmark and Sweden showed minor changes.

The total production in 2005 was 394.9 TWh (379.3 TWh in 2004). The hydro power production was 222 TWh (184 TWh), wind power 8 TWh (8 TWh), thermal power excluding nuclear was 73 TWh (91 TWh) and nuclear power was 92 TWh (97 TWh).

In 2005 the Nordel countries together had a net export of 0.9 TWh (11.6 TWh in 2004). The import was from Russia 11.5 TWh, Poland 0.4 TWh while there was net export of 12.8 TWh to Germany.
Appendix 3.2

ENERGY BALANCE 2005 [TWh]

Retrospect

P - production
C - consumption
B - energy balance (P-C), export (+) / import (-)

Total Nordel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>394.9</td>
<td>394.0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Denmark-W

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Denmark-E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Norway

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>137.9</td>
<td>125.9</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sweden

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>154.7</td>
<td>145.4</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Finland

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>67.9</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>-17.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RU

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Nordel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Denmark-W

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Denmark-E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RU

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Synchronous Peak Demand
20 January 2006, hour 8-9 a.m. CET

Peak demand this winter was 67,500 MWh/h, while a peak demand with a ten years temperature was estimated to 73,800 MWh/h. The total maximum winter peak demand 2000/2001 was 69,000 MWh/h which is the all time high peak demand in the Nordel system.

The operation of the Nordel system was in general normal during the peak load situation. The import from Russia to Finland was reduced due to internal problems in the Russian system.

It was very cold in Finland during the winter peak 2005/2006 while in the other Nordic Countries temperatures were near average. All time peak load was recorded in Finland one hour before the synchronous peak.

Compared to estimated peak demand for ten years winter the difference was between 1% and 17% in the individual areas.

Country specific peak demands
The different nordic countries had their peaks between January 4 and March 6, 2006. The sum of the individual peaks was 2.4% higher than the synchronous peak.
Appendix 4.2

PEAK LOAD 2005/2006
IN THE TOTAL NORDEL AREA

Measured on 20 January 2006, 8 a.m. - 9 a.m. (CET), estimated 1 in 10 years and all time high

Measured consumption [MWh/h]
Forecasted (Operative Working Group) peak demand [MWh/h]
(one of 10 winters)
All time high [MWh/h]
Simultaneous all time high; 5 Feb 2001 [MWh/h]
Appendix 4.3
COUNTRY SPECIFIC PEAK DEMAND 2005/06 [MWh/h]

P - production
C - consumption
B - power balance excluding exchange
  export (+) / import (-)
H - hour, CET

Denmark - W

P 4110
C 3750
B 360
4 Jan 06
H 17 - 18

Denmark - E

P 2740
C 2690
B 50
24 Jan 06
H 17 - 18

Norway

P 23750
C 21600
B 2150
6 Mar 06
H 08 - 09

Sweden

P 24350
C 26300
B -1950
19 Jan 06
H 16 - 17

Finland

P 11750
C 14800
B -3050
20 Jan 06
H 07 - 08