

## 17th Electricity Balancing Stakeholder Group (EBSG) Meeting

Date: 20 October 2021

Time: 9:00 – 13:00

Place: Telco

### Participants

No.	Surname	Name	Company	Present (Y/N):
1.	Maes	Guillaume	EFET	Y
2.	Biglia	Lorenzo	EFET	Y
3.	Afthab Olikathodi	Mohammed Abi	EURELECTRIC	Y
4.	Robaye	Hélène	EURELECTRIC	Y
5.	Beavor	Edmund	EUROPEX	Y
6.	Bourrousse	Marie	EDF	Y
7.	Tarnai	Sylvie	EPEXSPOT	Y
8.	Wood	Chris	Elexon	Y
9.	Nilsson	Rickard	NordPool Group	Y
10.	Bakker	Chiel	VEMW	Y
11.	Janson	Stefan	WEB.de	Y
12.	Bender	Basje	ACM	Y
13.	Dubbeling	Timon	ACM	Y
14.	Balardy	Clara	CRE	Y
15.	Fransen	Mathieu	ACER	Y
16.	Rossi	Stefano	ACER	Y
17.	Tellidou	Athina	ACER	Y
18.	Radanovic	Heni	ACER	Y
19.	Turegano	Alvaro	OMIE	Y
20.	Dion-Demaël	Michèle	ENTSO-E	Y
21.	De Haan	Jerom	ENTSO-E	Y
22.	Steber	David	ENTSO-E	Y
23.	Ziegler	Holger	ENTSO-E	Y
24.	Nabo Andersen	Nikolaj	ENTSO-E	Y
25.	Szer	Anna Kamila	ENTSO-E	Y
26.	Kostinen	Alexander	ENTSO-E	Y
27.	Marcina	Kristine	ENTSO-E	Y
28.	Troiano	Constanza	ENTSO-E	Y
29.	Vakhtangishvili	Nino	ENTSO-E	Y

## Contents

<b>1. Opening</b>	2
<b>2. Co-optimisation implementation impact assessment</b>	2
2.1. TSOs presentation	2
2.2. NEMOs presentation	3
<b>3. Transparency Platform</b>	4
4.1. TP updates in context of Implementation frameworks and MoP updates	4
<b>5. Implementation framework amendments</b>	4
5.1. Public consultation	4
<b>6. Pricing methodology amendments</b>	5
6.1. Public consultation	5
<b>7. Balancing report 2022 and performance indicators</b>	5
7.1. Suggestions from stakeholders	5
<b>8. AOB</b>	6
8.1. MARI-PICASSO stakeholder’s workshop – 2nd December – registration	6
8.2. Next EB SG	6

## Minutes

### 1. Opening

ACER (Athina Tellidou) and ENTSO-E (Michèle Dion-Demaël) welcome participants and introduces the agenda.

### 2. Co-optimisation implementation impact assessment

ENTSO-E (Jerom de Haan) provides an introduction and notes that the first part will be presented by TSOs and the second part by NEMOs.

#### 2.1. TSOs presentation

ENTSO-E (Jerom de Haan) presents an update on the Co-optimisation IIA. Jerom invites the participants to share their responses to the proposed questions for discussion (on slide 22) or ask their own questions via email.

EBSG discusses:

- A participant asks whether the German case representative. ENTSO-E (Jerom de Haan) responds that German TSOs offered data for illustration, but if a true assessment is to be made, the data from other countries is required.

- A participant asks whether the price level on the same MW can differ for different products. ENTSO-E (Jerom de Haan) responds that each product has its unique clearing price.
- A participant asks what simplified equations means and to what extent those are simplified. NEMOs (Alvaro Turegano) responds that this will be addressed in the NEMOs presentation that follows.
- A participant asks whether there will be an evolution in EUPHEMIA. NEMOs (Alvaro Turegano) responds that this will be addressed in the NEMOs presentation that follows.
- A participant asks whether the issue is linked to the CZCAOF. ENTSO-E (Jerom de Haan) confirms and clarifies that the goal is to make as good as possible SDAC representation with accurate results.

## 2.2. NEMOs presentation

NEMOs (Alvaro Turegano) presents an update on NEMOs's work on the Co-optimisation IIA.

EBSG discusses:

- A participant notes that the presented proposal represents big change in the markets and market participants need to modify a lot of processes, hence it deserves very careful attention and needs proper assessment on potential benefits. A participant asks what are the next steps in terms of stakeholders involvement. ENTSO-E (Jerom de Haan) explains the next steps and informs that in December the report will be shared with ACER and NRAs, afterwards TSOs will prepare updated set of requirements and send them to NEMOs. He notes that he will propose to TSOs, NEMOs and ACER and NRAs a dedicated workshop next year (early February). He further notes that IIA report this year is not concluding, it will assess all possibilities.
- A participant agrees with previous comments and huge consequences for the market participants and agrees that a dedicated workshop would be a good idea. He further notes that more information about the simulation conducted would be interesting. He further asks clarifications on how to provide the answers on TSOs questions. ENTSO-E (Jerom de Haan) asks participants to send their preliminary answers already now, which should give understanding of your stakeholder concerns. For the dedicated workshop he invites stakeholders to participate in agenda building, and invites stakeholders to indicate the points of focus, he proposes to nominate few SPOCs from stakeholder side to further communicate on the workshop content. SPOCs are invited to approach ENTSO-E.
- A participant asks how the impact assessment is conducted and how to come to quantitative assessment as it is hardly feasible as there are no bids to be used. He further asks if marginal pricing will be applied for all balancing capacity markets and asks if 3000 EUR price cap will be applied. ENTSO-E (Jerom de Haan) clarifies that for products that will be part of the co-optimisation cross-zonal marginal price will be applied, which will create surpluses for BSPs. He notes that it is not concluded that the price cap shall be identical.
- A participant asks if IIA will not assess the impact in terms of operations for Euphemia will this be assessed and shared by NEMOs. ENTSO-E (Jerom de Haan) notes that technical feasibility assessment will not stop after IIA. NEMOs (Alvaro Turegano) further notes that the assessment in IIA will be followed by prototype examples to have quantitative values, to have more precise information. ACER (Athina Tellidou) further notes that several actions on TSOs and NEMOs sides are foreseen and although this assessment will not be part of IIA, TSOs and NEMOs will put effort before submission of requirements to take that into account.

- A participant asks to clarify the legal approvals and the timeline. ACER (Athina Tellidou) clarifies that IIA report shall not be approved by ACER/NRAs, TSOs will send requirements mid next year to NEMOs and NEMOs proposal for algorithm changes will be further approved by ACER/NRAs (and consulted with stakeholders). For NEMOs there is no strict timeline for NEMOs submission, needs to be assessed and proposed by NEMOs.
- Participants conclude that a separate workshop will be organised in Q1 2022, and SPOCs from stakeholders' side are welcome to contribute to the planning of this workshop.

### 3. Transparency Platform

#### 4.1. TP updates in context of Implementation frameworks and MoP updates

ENTSO-E (Anna Kamila Szer) presents an update on transparency platform updates in context of the Implementation frameworks. ENTSO-E (Nikolaj Nabo Andersen and Alexander Kostinen) further present MoP updates.

EBSG discusses:

- A participant asks to clarify on the frequency of delay of the publication and asks if any measures are taken to increase the publication speed. He notes that „no later than 30 min“ requirements - are not satisfactory. ENTSO-E (Anna Kamila Szer) clarifies that the timings are given in the implementation frameworks and publications should be aligned with legal requirements. ENTSO-E (Nikolaj Nabo Andersen) further adds that transparency platform is preparing to receive new data items and also to process the data requirements and will be able to meet the requirements.
- A participant further notes that data shall be published as soon as possible. Similar information is published by regional/national platforms - which is more timely than it is foreseen and publishing information before delivery period and not after (CMOLs are of high interest). A participant further notes that if CMOL can be published via existing interfaces it would be the best option, if AOF would transfer all of the clearing results towards the national TSO-BSP platforms that would be optimal way. ENTSO-E (Anna Kamila Szer) clarifies that publishing on national platforms will multiply the same reporting and wont bring added value.
- Participants conclude that TSOs should aim to publish the data as soon as possible and no later than 30 minutes.

### 5. Implementation framework amendments

ENTSO-E (Michèle Dion-Demaël) presents an update on the Implementation framework amendments.

#### 5.1. Public consultation

ENTSO-E (Michèle Dion-Demaël) states that the public consultation is ongoing (19 October – 19 December). The dedicated workshop will take place on 16 November.

EB SG discusses:

- A participant asks if there is a potential impact on market participants. ENTSO-E (Michèle Dion-Demaël) responds that there is no impact on stakeholders, and it is mainly a governance question.

## 6. Pricing methodology amendments

ACER (Heni Radanovic) presents an update on the Pricing methodology amendments.

### 6.1. Public consultation

ACER (Heni Radanovic) states that the public consultation is ongoing (13 October – 10 November). The dedicated workshop will take place on 27 October, where more details will be discussed.

EB SG discusses:

- A participant notes that they opposed the price limit and asks what the EU price limits in different balancing platforms are (e.g., cross-border marginal price compared to local marginal price limits).
  - o ACER (Heni Radanovic) responds that ACER will take this comment back and provide more details in the workshop.
  - o ACER (Athina Tellidou) asks to clarify whether the question was on national levels before joining the balancing platforms.
  - o ENTSO-E (David Steber) adds that there is a possibility for local deviations in the pricing methodologies.
  - o A participant responds that he was mostly interested in an overview of different national price caps per product at a national level.
  - o ACER (Athina Tellidou) notes that by July 2022, the price limits will be harmonised, and the issue of varying price caps will be automatically solved for the platforms.
- ENTSO-E (David Steber) notes that TSOs disagree with the opinion provided by ACER because it is based on the auction theory and the future desired EU market model, and not on any practical model existing today. ENTSO-E (David Steber) encourages stakeholders to review the study commissioned by TSOs to have a comprehensive view.
  - o ACER (Athina Tellidou) thanks ENTSO-E for the comment and also notes that all materials submitted by TSOs were published by ACER and that stakeholders are encouraged to review all materials.

## 7. Balancing report 2022 and performance indicators

ENTSO-E (Michèle Dion-Demaël) presents an update on Balancing reporting 2022.

### 7.1. Suggestions from stakeholders

EBSG discusses:

- A participant proposes to add a KPI on the impact of different price caps for specific products vs the harmonised price cap for the standard products.
  - o ENTSO-E (Michèle Dion-Demaël) responds that it is unclear how different local price caps create an uneven playing field in the EU.

- ENTSO-E (David Steber) responds that there is an EB Regulation requirement that before a TSO introduces a local product, it needs to prove that such specific product is more efficient.
- ACER (Athina Tellidou) notes the requirement of the EB Regulation that the local products cannot create additional significant inefficiencies, so that should be monitored and a KPI is needed for that.
- A participant asks when the 2022 Report will be published.
  - ENTSO-E (Michèle Dion-Demaël) responds that the deadline for Reports is generally 6 months after the year that Report covers (which is 2021 in this case), meaning that the reports will be published by July 2022.
- A participant asks about including content on the TERRE platform in the Balancing Report.
  - ENTSO-E (Michèle Dion-Demaël) confirms that TERRE operation information will be included in 2022 reports.

ENTSO-E (Kristine Marcina) notes that participants are welcome to approach ENTSO-E with any additional ideas for the 2022 Reports.

## 8. AOB

### 8.1. MARI-PICASSO stakeholder's workshop – 2nd December – registration

ENTSO-E (Kristine Marcina) notes that there will be a separate [MARI-PICASSO stakeholder's workshop](#) on 2 December. [Registration](#) is now open.

### 8.2. Next EB SG

ACER (Athina Tellidou) asks to include in the next EB SG agenda the topic on the CZCA Harmonised Methodology.

ENTSO-E (Michèle Dion-Demaël) thanks all participants for participation and closes the meeting.