



# Eurelectric's view on ENTSO-E's report on Frequency Deviation incident

MESC meeting 02/07/2019

# Eurelectric views and questions

- Eurelectric thanks ENTSO-E for the publication of the report.
- Eurelectric believes that this topic as such is under the SO ESC, but sees merit in bringing it up at MESC due to the fact that the ENTSO-E report seems to point to market responsibility for this event.
- According to our reading, main cause seems to be a frozen measurement on 4 tie lines.
- However, main recommendation seems to focus on deterministic frequency deviations. To our understanding, the occurrence of DFDs aggravated the issue during the frozen measurements, but the frozen measurements were the actual cause of the issue.
- Questions and reactions:
  - Is our understanding correct ?
  - Eurelectric puzzled to see that recommendation focuses on DFDs, while not much proposals are made for avoiding frozen measurement in the future.
  - Eurelectric has concerns about potential additional “burden” put on market participants → **wrong remedy to solve the issue ?**
  - Eurelectric reminds that addressing DFDs can certainly be done by having granularity of the product in line with target ISP; (Refer to the [relevant common ENTSO-E - Eurelectric paper](#)\*)
  - **What is the plan to address frozen measurement risk ?**

\*([https://docstore.entsoe.eu/fileadmin/user\\_upload/\\_library/publications/entsoe/120222\\_Deterministic\\_Frequency\\_Deviations\\_joint\\_ENTSOE\\_Eurelectric\\_Report\\_Final\\_.pdf](https://docstore.entsoe.eu/fileadmin/user_upload/_library/publications/entsoe/120222_Deterministic_Frequency_Deviations_joint_ENTSOE_Eurelectric_Report_Final_.pdf))