

Integration of electricity market rules: are we on track to achieve an Internal Electricity Market?

13th MESC – Item 2.3 – NEMO Committee 8th June 2018

Cosimo Campidoglio, NEMO Committee Chairman

Achievements & next steps



	Achievements	Next steps	Date
Methodologies (art.9 CACM)	 ✓ MCO Plan ✓ DA & ID Product methodology ✓ Back up methodology ✓ Min-Max price methodology 	 Algorithm methodology escalated to ACER 	?
Contractual framework	 Interim NEMOs Cooperation Agreement (INCA) ID contracts (ANIDOA, IDOA) signature 	 All NEMO Cooperation Agreement (ANCA) DA contracts: All Nemo Day-ahead Operational Agreement (ANDOA) Day Ahead Operational Agreement (DAOA, between NEMOs and TSOs) signature Joint NEMO-TSO governance (CACM art 10) – High Level design 	12/18
Procedures	 First draft of DA & ID algorithm change control procedure First draft of DA & ID algorithm monitoring procedures 	 Agreement with TSOs on algorithm change control procedures and algorithm monitoring procedures for DA & ID 	12/18
Go-Live		• SIDC go-live (1st wave)	06/18
		MNA technical readiness	09/18
		• SIDC go-live (2nd wave)	Q2/19
		• SDAC go-live	?
R&D	 Preliminary scope for DA Algorithm R&D finalized 	 ACER approval of methodologies needed to proceed 	

Open issues



Single DA Coupling

- Interim phase from Regional DA coupling to SDAC:
 - interim co-existence between existing Regional DA coupling projects (MRC vs 4MMC) and CACM provisions (DAOA & IDOA contracts);
 - definition of an agreed timeline for SDAC operational go-live.
 - NEMOs encourage TSOs to finalize capacity calculation methodology asap or to provide ATCs, in order to couple 4M and MRC asap

Open issues



Single DA Coupling

- Algorithm R&D activity:

 R&D needed to ensure non-discriminatory access to existing NEMO/TSO products/functionalities and support of new ones (e.g. adequate scalability);

 TSO involvement in R&D cost sharing needed, as R&D strongly influenced by TSO requirements .



Single ID Coupling

- XBID extension:

 2nd (and 3rd) go-live wave needs to be secured in order to extend XBID solution in whole EU

- R&D activity:

 improve collaboration with IT provider, in order to reach efficiently R&D milestones and timings.

- Capacity pricing:

 NRAs' decisions on ID capacity pricing methodology needed to finalize SIDC implementation.



- Competition related issues
 - Non harmonized cost-recovery schemes:
 - National sharing keys between NEMOs and TSOs;
 - National TSO contribution to NEMO costs;
 - National costs sharing in Multi-NEMO configurations;
 - Cost sharing among MS in case of Multi-country NEMOs.

Problems for competitive NEMOS (Cost exposure, Level playfield) Impact on project management **Need for NRAs**

coordination

 Regulated (MCO) vs non-regulated NEMO activities:

 Conflict of interests originating from management of activities on the border between non-regulated NEMOs' activities and NEMOs' regulated MCO function. Live examples:

- Local decoupling scenarios
- Signature of operational agreements
- \odot Use of products

 Frequent request for guidance to EC, ACER, NRAs => need for «ad hoc regulation» of at least high level principles for managing these activities;



Lack of clear rules on Competition affects NEMOs' Cooperation on MCO Function

\mathbf{r}

Impact on NEMO cooperation in developing the MCO function

Need for unbundling of regulated and non-regulated NEMOs activities.