


MESC meeting – Input Core CCR

September 6th 2017



1. Core CCR - Public Consultation

Public Consultation results



Core TSOs initiated Public Consultation on the Core DA and ID FB CCM on the 30th of June until the 31st of July. This resulted in responses of the below organizations:

Market Parties & Associations

- General Position paper EFET, Eurelectric, MPP and NordEnergi
- 12 individual responses

Core NRAs' Shadow Opinion

- Core NRAs also submitted their Shadow Opinion (SO) on the Core DA FB and Core ID FB CCMs after Public Consultation
- Feedback received from Market Parties reflects the NRA SO

Consultation Report

- All feedbacks received from Market Parties & Associations are thoroughly assessed, have been discussed with Core NRAs and are consolidated in a Consultation Report including Core TSOs responses.
- The Consultation Report will be submitted to NRAs together with the updated Core DA FB & Core ID FB CCMs and shared with MPs afterwards

→ A summary of the main feedback received can be found in the Appendix

2. Core TSOs feedback & approach for updating the Core CCMs

Current status Core DA FB CCM



Core TSOs are currently working on an updated version of the Core DA FB CCM and Core ID FB CCM. Main part of the feedback received from involved stakeholders and Core NRAs will be included in the Core CCMs.

However, it is highly challenging for the 16 TSOs (13 countries) in the Core CCR to deliver a final CCM within 10 months after the ACER CCR decision. Some fundamental elements of the CCM are difficult to detail at this moment in time:

- Experimentations are ongoing and progressing however Core TSOs are facing challenges, such as:
 - Harmonizing of inputs & configurations between different prototypes used and throughout 16 TSOs
 - Developing & extending available (prototype) tooling to cope with the Core region scale
- Preliminary experimentation results are available, further analysis and additional experimentation are required to be able to conclude on the final Core DA FB CCM
 - The available results lead to questions. To answer these questions, thorough analyses is needed which requires time and a lot of efforts.

➔ Core TSOs and Core NRAs concluded that the current status of the experimentation phase confirms it is too early to determine all details of the Core CCM

- On the next slide, the Core TSOs' approach for finalization of the Core DA FB CCM can be found. This approach is agreed upon by Core NRAs during the Core Implementation Group meeting on the 28th of August.

2. Core TSOs feedback & approach for updating the Core CCMs

Approach for finalization of the Core DA FB CCM



Approach for finalization

1. Submission of updated Approval Package to NRAs on the 17th of September 2017

- Updated Core CCM Proposal with the inclusion of all adaptations possible at this moment in time based on feedback received from Core stakeholders.
 - Process steps will be included in the Proposal on how to determine the final values & methods for e.g. CNEC selection, LTA inclusion, harmonized risk levels, RAO. These general processes include descriptions on how to close and approve the open points;
 - Core TSOs shall provide a “Core TSO Deliverable Report” in Q4 2017 describing detailed plans on how to finalize the open topics. This report shall be discussed with and concluded upon by Core NRAs. The report shall be shared with Market Parties afterwards.

2. In parallel of the NRA approval period (6 months until March 2018) Core TSOs will continue their efforts on experimentation and will further align with NRAs and Market Parties

- Core TSOs shall organize co-creation workshops with NRAs and with Market Parties to enhance the work on expert level. This will be in addition to the regular stakeholder meetings.

Main reasons for Core TSOs to finalize the CCM according to the above approach:

- To be able to meet Core NRAs and stakeholders expectations as reflected in the feedback received after Public Consultation
- To secure the development of a solid methodology, supported by experimentation results and feasibility study, being able to provide an acceptable level of capacity while ensuring security of supply



Overview feedback received from MPs after Public Consultation

Summary of main feedback on Core DA FB CCM



Level of Detail

- CCM lacks level of detail, the proposal describes principles and not methodologies. A variety of details from the Explanatory Note needs to be transferred to the Proposal, e.g.: Request to further detail FB parameters, EC determination methodology & justifications, detailing & harmonizing risk level

CNEC

- Stakeholders ask for clear & detailed description of CNEC selection:
 - No open values, detailed description of process, criteria and threshold(s)

CACM compliancy

- Proposal is on several aspects not compliant with requirements set out in CACM or references are missing / incorrect

RA

- Remedial actions: TSOs to clarify nature of the different RAs to be taken into account in calculation, incl use of costly RAs

Transparency & monitoring data

- MPs ask for minimum CWE-level of transparency, additional transparency is requested

Implementation time-lines:

- Concrete dates for //run need to be added
- Cooperation with non-Core Regions on solution that takes into account influence of adjacent regions needs to be binding, duration shall be named.

Non-Core borders

- Art. 16 – Capacity calculation on non-Core CCR borders: request received to include CNEs of non-Core countries and to taken them into account in the RAO.

Overview feedback received from MPs after Public Consultation

Summary of main feedback on Core ID FB CCM



Stakeholders feedback on ID proposal

- Stakeholders indicated that all feedback provided to the DA proposal are (where relevant) applicable to the Core ID FB CCM as well

Frequency of reassessment

- Specific feedback was provided on Article 5:
 - Art. 5 Intraday Capacity Calculation: Not compliant with Article 21(2) of CACM, specification of the frequency of reassessment of capacity in ID time frame is requested.