

Report from the all-EGs meeting on 20 March 2019

Ioannis Theologitis, ENTSO-E

**13th Grid Connection European Stakeholder
Committee Meeting**

21 March 2019, Brussels

Purpose of the meeting



Joint GC ESC Expert Groups' meeting

Meeting of 20. March 2019

ENTSO-E
Avenue de Cortenbergh, 100
1000 Brussels

Ground Floor – COULOMB, FARADAY and WATT meeting room

Draft Agenda

- 10:00-10:15hrs: Welcome/ Attendance/ ~~Organisational~~ matters
- Confirmation of today's agenda
 - Attendance (list to be circulated)
- 10:15-10:45hrs: Short review of the work so far – observations by ENTSO-E
- Meetings/Preparations/Activity/SharePoint/Membership updates
 - Agreement on finalizing the membership for all EGs
 - Communication of the final work – what, where, when
- 10:45-11:15hrs: Feedback from the EG members – pros/cons/suggestions for improvement
- 11:15-12:45hrs: Current status of work in all EGs
- Quick feedback from the participants
 - Identification of overlapping topics
- 12:45-13:45hrs: *lunch break*
- 13:45-14:15hrs: Should we merge PSH and Storage findings in the future – e.g. in an amended ~~RFG~~ version?
- 14:15-15:00hrs: How are the co-located sites (generation + storage) overlap with the mixed-site considerations?
- What about compliance issues
- 15:00-15:30hrs: Preparation of the GC ESC meeting on 21. March
- Conclusions from the joint meeting
- 15:30-15:45hrs: AOB

- An opportunity...
 - to present the status of work to a wider expert audience
 - to discuss overlapping topics that have been spotted in the different EGs
 - to assess the way of working in the EGs
 - to suggest improvements for future EGs
 - To discuss on some high-level matters regarding reporting, publication, timelines
 - ...

Summary & Suggestions 1/2

Organisational matters:

- The set-up of the EGs has been proven useful to stir discussions, enhance the collaboration among the different stakeholders and drive important conclusions
- The frequency of one webinar/meeting per month is sufficient
 - *Suggestion: arrange the meetings even earlier in time (all meetings of one EG)*
 - *Suggestion: take advantage of ESC meetings for physical meetings*
- Non-attendance should be addressed.
 - *Suggestion: Members that have been nominated, but never participated to any webinar/meeting and didn't show any activity (e.g. reviewing, sharing information by email) should be contacted (the relevant association) for substitution. Consequently, they will not be mentioned in the final outcomes/report(s).*
- *SharePoint is an acceptable tool/library*
- The report and the table of requirements (where applicable) will be the public material. The rest of the proceedings and presentations will be kept internal. However – unless otherwise stated (e.g. confidential), all information are assumed public.
 - *Suggestions: - ENTSO-E should maintain the access rights for future access to the material collected for each EG (beyond the public ones) – no access will be granted to interested experts that were not part of the EG – ENTSO-E will archive in a file all the material and share them with the EG members – draft versions and working documents can be shared with colleagues to support the work if applicable.*
- Harmonization of templates for the reports is welcomed

Summary & Suggestions 2/2

Organisational matters (continue):

- Maintaining 3 active EGs each time is sufficient
 - Suggestions: Longer term planning (yearly) will be helpful – identify which topics can be covered within the year, on what priority – identifying overlapping topics. The list of topics should be reviewed.
- Harmonization of templates for the reports is welcomed

Content related matters:

- Comments for each EG has been noted by the Chairs/Vice-Chairs and will be treated within the respective groups
- The PSH discussion could potentially be merge with the general storage discussions. Currently it is sensible to continue separately, but in a future code those discussions can be merged. Possible implications to other NCs/GLs e.g. SOGL should be assessed.
- The classification of storage (types A, B, C, D) vs voltage criteria: avoid introducing the same challenges of mixed customer sites – therefore how the storage discussion will be incorporated into the codes is important.